UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Title of Invention	Method and Apparatus for Analyzing and Debugging Natural Language Parses
Named Inventor(s)	Su Chin Chang Hajime Wada
Attorney Docket	13237-2495 MS #135992.1
Express Mail Label No.	EL 329858442 US

11/19/99

JC604 U.S. PTO

JC588 U.S. PTO 09/443779 11/19/99

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, D.C. 20231

1. ☒ Fee Transmittal Form
   (Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing)
2. ☒ Specification, Claims, and Abstract — Total Pages **41**
3. ☒ Drawings — Total Sheets **11**
4. Oath or Declaration — Total Pages **2**
   a. ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
   b. ☐ Copy from prior application (37 CFR 1.63(d))
   (for continuation/divisional with Box 17 completed)
   [Note Box 5 Below]
   (i) ☐ DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)
   Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).
5. ☐ Incorporation by Reference
   (usable if Box 4b is checked)
   The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied under Box 4b, is considered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby incorporated by reference therein.
6. ☐ Microfiche Computer Program *(Appendix)*
7. ☐ Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission *(if applicable, all necessary)*
   a. ☐ Computer Readable Copy
   b. ☐ Paper Copy (identical to computer copy)
   c. ☐ Statement verifying identity of above copies

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

8. ☒ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
9. ☐ 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement
   (when there is an assignee)
   ☐ Power of Attorney by assignee
10. ☐ English Translation Document *(if applicable)*
11. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) PTO-1449
    ☐ Copies of IDS Citations
12. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
13. ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)
    (Should be specifically itemized)
14. ☐ Small Entity Statement(s)
    ☐ Statement filed in prior application Status still proper and desired
15. ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
16. ☐ Other: ______________

17. If a **CONTINUING APPLICATION**, *check appropriate box and supply the requisite information*:
    ☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No:

18. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

Christopher J. Leonard, Esq.
JONES & ASKEW, LLP
2400 Monarch Tower
3424 Peachtree Road, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30326

By: [signature] Reg. No.41,940
Date: November 19, 1999
Telephone: 404-949-2400
Facsimile: 404-949-2499

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ANALYZING AND DEBUGGING NATURAL LANGUAGE PARSES

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to computer systems for natural language processing. More specifically, the present invention relates to computer systems for developing natural language parsing systems. Even more specifically described, the present invention relates to computer systems for analyzing and debugging natural language parses.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In order for humans and computers to communicate effectively using natural human languages (i.e. English, Japanese, etc.), computer systems must be developed that can "understand" natural human languages. A starting point toward developing computers that can truly understand natural human languages is the development of computer systems for analyzing human language text. One type of system for analyzing human language text is the natural language parser. Natural language parsers, or simply "parsers," analyze human language text to determine its syntax, or grammatical structure.

Parsing a natural language sentence involves several steps. First, the sentence is broken down into tokens, which may be words or punctuation marks. Next, a dictionary is consulted to determine grammatical information about each word, such as its part of speech (i.e. verb, noun, etc.). Finally, grammar rules are applied to the words and tokens to join them into larger sentence fragments called constituents. The grammar rules are applied recursively to the constituents until the entire sentence may be formed by joining two constituents. For example, the sentence "The dog barked" is comprised of the constituents "The" (adjective phrase), "dog" (noun phrase), and "barked" (verb phrase). Therefore, this sentence may be

parsed by first applying a grammar rule that joins an adjective phrase followed by a noun phrase. The result of the application of this rule provides a larger constituent, the noun phrase "The dog." Another grammar rule may then be applied that joins a noun phrase and a verb phrase to create a verb phrase. The application of this grammar rule joins the noun phrase "The dog" with the verb phrase "barked," and results in a grammatically correct parse of the entire sentence.

Parsers typically apply all of the available grammar rules to a sentence using a brute-force algorithm. Therefore, the parser itself is relatively simple to create. In contrast, the grammar rules that the parser applies can be very complicated and must be created by a linguist. When creating grammar rules, a linguist typically asks the question "Given a span of text in a sentence, are there grammar rules that can be applied to form that span into a constituent?" If the answer to this questions is "Yes," the linguist may then ask "What rules were applied to form the span into a constituent, and what is the resulting constituent?" If the parse of a sentence fails, and the parser is unable to form a constituent that spans the entire sentence with the available rules, the linguist may ask the question "Where did application of the grammar rules fail, and why?" The linguist may also ask "Are there rules that could be successfully applied?" In order to answer these and other questions regarding grammar rules, linguists utilize software tools for analyzing and debugging natural language parses.

Previous tools for analyzing and debugging natural language parses have been very difficult to use because of their text-based nature. For instance, some previous tools for analyzing and debugging parses simply display all of the constituents formed during a parse in a text-based list. To determine how a span of text may be joined, a linguist must scan through the entire list of constituents to find all of the constituents that join the span of text. This searching process can be very time consuming and frustrating for the linguist. To apply a grammar rule to two constituents, the linguist must first scan the list for the two constituents

they want to join. Then, the linguist must type in a command to apply a rule to the two constituents. The linguist must know beforehand the rule that they want to apply, and either memorize or reference all of the available rules. This process is also very counterintuitive for a linguist and can be extremely time consuming.

Therefore, in light of these problems, there is a need for a method and apparatus for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that permits a linguist to quickly and intuitively analyze and debug the application of grammar rules. There is also a need for a method and apparatus for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that provides quick and easy access to all of the available grammar rules.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention solves the problems described above by providing a method and apparatus for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that is intuitive and easy-to-use. The present invention advantageously allows linguists, and even non-linguists, to quickly debug the application of grammar rules. The present invention also provides quick and easy access to all of the available grammar rules. The present invention may also be advantageously utilized with a variety of parsing engines, and may be implemented for use with virtually any natural language.

Generally described, the present invention provides a user interface for analyzing and debugging natural language parses. Aspects of the present invention are embodied in an application program for analyzing and debugging natural language parses. Utilizing aspects of the present invention, a user is prompted to provide an input sentence. Once the user has provided the input sentence, the sentence is transmitted an associated parsing engine. As described above, the present invention operates independently of any particular parsing engine and many such parsers may be advantageously utilized with the present invention.

The parsing engine receives the input sentence and parses the sentence in a manner well know to those skilled in the art. The parsing engine then saves the results of the parse in a table of constituents. Once the parser has completed saving the table of constituents, the application program embodying aspects of the present invention retrieves the table of constituents. A "grid" tree is then drawn with as many "leaves" as words in the input sentence. Nodes of the tree, or "connecting points," appear at intersections of the tree "branches." The grid may be drawn in a dashed line and each of the words of the sentence may be displayed under the appropriate leaf.

Once the grid has been drawn, the first syntactically correct parse of the sentence is mapped to the grid in a tree-like manner. Successful connections between sentence fragments, or constituents, may be shown by drawing over the dashed line of the grid with a solid line. The dashed lines of the grid remain visible where no successful connection has been made. An identifier describing the constituents is displayed at each connecting point above two successfully connected constituents. If no parse of the sentence is syntactically correct, the partially formed tree is displayed.

Once the first syntactically correct parse of the sentence has been applied to the grid, user input is received. The user may then provide control input to select user-interface elements, such as graphical buttons, connecting points that are a part of the parse tree, connecting points that are not a part of the parse tree, or options in "pull-down" menus.

Options available to the user may include user-interface controls for selecting the previous or next parse of the input sentence, and for displaying a parse for a previous or next input sentence. Information may also be displayed identifying the current parse and the total number of parses available to be displayed. User interface controls may also be provided for turning the grid on or off depending upon the current state of the grid.

User interface controls may also be provided allowing the user to select a node, or connecting point, on the display. If a connecting point that is not contained in the parse tree (i.e. no constituent could be formed at the connecting point) is selected, a group of menu options may be displayed adjacent to the selected connecting point. The user may select menu options for displaying successful rules applied at the connecting point, or for displaying unattempted and failed rules for the connecting point. If the user selects a menu option for displaying the successful rules, another group of menu options may be displayed adjacent to the first menu providing selections for displaying successful rules for the word string below the connecting point, and for displaying successful rules for the constituents below the connecting point.

If the menu option for displaying successful rules for the word string below the connecting point is selected, another user interface window may be displayed showing the rules that can be applied to the word string without regard to the rules currently in place in the tree. If the menu option for displaying successful rules for the constituents below the connecting point is selected, a user interface window may be displayed showing the rules that can be applied in light of what is contained in the tree below the connecting point. In either case, one of the displayed rules may then be selected and applied to the tree at the selected connecting point.

If one of the connecting points that is not contained in the tree is selected and the menu option for displaying failed and unattempted rules is also selected, a user interface window may be presented displaying the rules that could have been applied to the constituents under the selected connecting point. Input may then be received for applying one of the displayed rules to the selected connecting point, or for computing the success or failure of each of the displayed rules at the connecting point. If the option for computing the success or failure of each of the displayed rules is selected, each of the rules and the constituents of the connecting

point are submitted to the parse engine to determine if the rule may be successfully applied at the connecting point. Indicators may then be displayed adjacent to each rule to indicate whether the rule was successfully or unsuccessfully applied at the connecting point. If control input is received selecting one of the displayed rules, the selected grammar rule is then applied at the selected connecting point. If application of the rule at the connecting point fails, another user interface window may be presented indicating that the rule failed, and also highlighting the portion of the rule that failed.

If a connecting point that is contained in the tree (i.e. a constituent was formed at the connecting point) is selected, a group of menu options may be displayed adjacent to the selected connecting point. The menu options may include displaying the name of the connecting point and the name of the rule that was applied at the connecting point to form the constituent. The menu options may also permit displaying detailed information regarding the constituents below the connecting point in a new user interface window, deletion of the selected connecting point and all of its constituents, and deletion of the entire tree.

In this manner, the present invention advantageously provides a method and apparatus for analyzing and debugging natural language parses. That the present invention improves over the drawbacks of the prior art and accomplishes the objects of the invention will become apparent from the detailed description of the illustrative embodiment to follow.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a networked personal computer that provides the operating environment for embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a screen shot of a software application program for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that embodies aspects of the present invention.

FIG. 3 is a screen shot of a software application program for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that embodies aspects of the present invention.

FIG. 4 is a screen shot of a software application program for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that embodies aspects of the present invention.

FIGS. 5A and 5B are screen shots of a software application program for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that embodies aspects of the present invention.

FIG. 6 is a screen shot of a software application program for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that embodies aspects of the present invention.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram illustrating an illustrative software architecture for implementing aspects of the present invention.

FIG. 8 is a flow diagram illustrating an illustrative method for analyzing and debugging natural language parses.

FIG. 9 is a flow diagram that illustrates a method for handling the selection of buttons, display items, and other user interface controls.

FIG. 10 is a flow diagram showing an illustrative method for handling the selection of a connecting point not contained in a parse tree.

FIG. 11 is a flow diagram illustrating an exemplary method for handling the selection of a connecting point contained in a parse tree.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention is directed toward a method and apparatus for analyzing and debugging natural language parses. The present invention may be embodied in an application program or in another type of program module. In an illustrative embodiment, the present invention is embodied in a natural language parsing application program called Rapid Analysis and Debugging ("RAD") tool, running on a personal computer for proving a user interface for parsing natural language sentences.

Generally described, RAD provides a user interface for a computer system that greatly reduces the time and effort necessary to analyze and debug natural language parses. More particularly described, RAD provides a tree-like view of natural language sentence parses and permits easy application of new grammar rules to sentence fragments, permits quick deletion of constituents, and allows quick debugging of new grammar rules.

Using the RAD application program, a user is prompted to input a sentence to be parsed. Once the sentence has been received from the user, the sentence is transmitted to a parsing engine. The parsing engine, or parser, then parses the sentence using the available grammar rules (the "grammar") and saves the result of the parse in a table of constituents. The table of constituents contains all of the possible parses of the sentence and describes the rules that were applied to join each pair of constituents.

Once the parser has completed saving the table of constituents, a first parse of the sentence is retrieved from the table of constituents. A grid is then drawn in the shape of a tree representing the sentence. The grid has a connecting point at its top and as many "leaves" as tokens (words or punctuation marks) in the input sentence. Connecting points appear at the intersections of the "branches" of the grid. The grid is drawn by connecting the connecting points with a dashed line, or other identifying line characteristic, and each of the words of the sentence is displayed under the appropriate leaf of the grid.

The grid for any given parse of an input sentence is drawn by first determining the number of tokens in the sentence. The tokens form the "leaves" of the tree and each language defines what a token is. In the English language, a token is usually a word. Once the number of tokens in the input sentence has been determined, the number of connecting points required on the grid is determined. The number of connecting points is equal to the arithmetic sum of the number of leaves of the tree. Therefore, the equation for determining the number of connecting points is equal to: ((number_of_leaves * (number_of_leaves +1))/2). For example, if there are four leaves, then number of connecting points required to draw the grid is ten (4*(4+1))/2=10).

Once the number of connecting points required to draw the grid has been computed, the connecting points are assigned numbers starting with one, from the top down, left to right. Therefore, the connecting point at the top level of the grid would be assigned the number one. The two connecting points immediately below the top connecting point would be numbered two and three (from left to right) and so on. Each row of connecting points is also assigned a level number from the top down, starting with one. Therefore, the top connecting point is at level one, the connecting points immediately below the top connecting point are at level two, and so on. With this numbering scheme in place, equations can be defined to locate child and parent connecting points from any connecting point. In this manner, the grid can be navigated and the grid may be created by drawing lines between the appropriate connecting points. These equations are shown below in Table 1.

```
LeftChildOf(Connecting Point) = Level(connecting point) + connecting point
RightChildOf(Connecting Point) = Level(connecting pint) + connecting point +1
LeftParentOf(Connecting Point) = connecting point - Level(connecting point)
RightParentOf(Connecting Point) = connecting point - Level(connecting point) + 1
```

Table 1

Once the grid has been drawn, the parse is mapped to the grid by drawing solid lines (or lines with other types of identifying characteristics) between successful connections between sentence fragments, or constituents (the "tree" or "parse tree"). The grid remains visible where no successful connection could be made between constituents in the tree. An identifier describing each constituent may also be displayed at each connecting point above two successfully connected constituents. If the parse of the sentence is not syntactically correct, the partially formed tree will be displayed.

Each constituent in the table of constituents is assumed to contain information about its child constituents. The algorithm for drawing the tree is shown in Table 2 as psuedocode. The algorithm deals with unary connecting points (i.e. connecting points that have no children) by collapsing them. The algorithm in Table 2 takes a constituent as input and returns the connecting point number where the input constituent is drawn. Other methods for drawing the grid and the parse tree will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

```
DrawParse (ThisConstit)
        If ThisConstit is a leaf
                //Get the connecting point connected to the leaf
                ThisConnectingPoint = ConnectingPointConnectedTo(ThisConstit);

                //Label the connecting point with the current constit
                LabelConnectingPointWithConstit(ThisConnectingPoint, ThisConstit);
                //Return ThisConnectingPoint

        Else If ThisConstit Has 1 Child
                //Draw the child and label the connecting point of the child
```

```
            //with ThisConstit (collapses the unary node)
            ThisConnectingPoint = DrawParse(LeftConstitOf(ThisConstit));

            LabelConnectingPointWithConstit(ThisConnectingPoint, ThisConstit);
            Return ThisConnectingPoint;

    Else If ThisConstit Has 2 Children
            //Draw the left and right child constituents
            LeftChildConnectingPoint =
            DrawParse(LeftConstitOf(ThisConstit));
            RightChildConnectingPoint =
            DrawParse(RightConstitOf(ThisConstit));

            //Determine the common parent connecting point of the child
            //subtrees.  This common connecting point will be the connecting
            //point of ThisConstit
            ThisConnectingPoint = CommonParent(LeftChildConnectingPoint,
            RightChildConnectingPoint);

            //Connect the subtrees to this Connecting Point
            ConnectConnectingPints(ThisConnectingPoint,
            LeftChildConnectingPoint);
            ConnectConnectingPints(ThisConnectingPoint,
            RightChildConnectingPoint);
            //Label the connecting point with the current constit
            LabelConnectingPointWithConstit(ThisConnectingPoint,
            ThisConstit);
            ReturnThisConnectingPoint
    End If
```

Table 2

Once the tree has been drawn in the manner described above, control input is received. Control input may comprise input from an input device such as a mouse, keyboard, light pen, or other similar input device known to those skilled in the art. Through the control input, one of several graphical user interface selections may be made. For instance, a node contained in the parse tree may be selected, a node that is not in the parse tree may be selected, or other options may be selected from "pull-down" menus, user interface buttons, or other similar user interface items as known to those skilled in the art.

Through the present invention, control input may be received for selecting a next parse of the sentence or a previous parse of the sentence. If control input is received selecting the previous parse of the sentence, the grid and parse tree are redrawn in the manner described above for the next parse of the sentence. Likewise, if control input is received selecting the next parse of the sentence, the grid and parse tree is redrawn for the next available parse of the sentence. The number of the current parse and the total number of available parses may also be displayed. Control input may also be received for turning the grid on or off.

Control input may also be received selecting a next sentence or a previous sentence. If control input is received selecting the previous sentence, the parse of the current sentence will be removed and the previously entered sentence will be transmitted to the parsing engine and displayed in the manner described above. Likewise, if control input is received selecting the next sentence, the parse of the current sentence will be removed and the next available sentence will be transmitted to the parsing engine and displayed in the manner described above. In this manner, parses for multiple sentences may be displayed quickly and conveniently.

Control input may also be received selecting a connecting point at which a constituent was not successfully formed (i.e. constituents could not be formed at the connecting point, so the connecting point is not contained in the parse tree). If control input is received selecting such a connecting point, a first group of menu items are displayed proximate to the selected connecting point. Control input may then be received selecting the menu items. In particular, menu items may be selected for displaying rules applied to successfully form a constituent at the selected connecting point, or for displaying rules that either failed or that were not attempted at the selected connecting point.

If control input is received selecting a menu item for displaying rules applied to successfully form a constituent at the selected connecting point, a second group of menu items may be displayed proximate to the first group of menu items. Control input may then be received selecting one of the menu items from the second group of menu items. More particularly, menu items may be selected for displaying the successful rules for joining the word string below the connecting point or for displaying the successful rules for joining the constituents below the connecting point.

If control input is received for displaying the successful rules for the word string, a window is displayed showing the rules that can be applied to the word string below the selected connecting point without regard to the rules that were previously applied to the tree. If control input is received for displaying the successful rules for the constituents below the selected connecting point, a window is displayed showing the rules that can be applied in light of what is in the tree below the selected connecting point. In either case, control input may be received selecting one of the displayed rules, and the selected rule may then be applied to the tree at the selected connecting point.

If control input is received for displaying rules that either failed or that were not attempted at the selected connecting point, a window is displayed showing all of the rules that

could have been applied to the constituents under the selected connecting point. Control input may then be received to apply one of the displayed rules to the connecting point or to compute the success or failure of each of the rules at the selected connecting point.

Control input may also be received selecting a connecting point at which a constituent was successfully formed (i.e. constituents were formed at the connecting point, so the connecting point is contained in the parse tree). If control input is received selecting such a connecting point, a menu may be displayed adjacent to the selected connecting point. The menu may display information regarding the name of the connecting point and the name of the rule that was applied to join the constituents below the connecting point. Control input may then be received selecting one of the menu items for viewing details regarding the constituents below the selected connecting point, for deleting the connecting point and all of its constituents from the tree, and for deleting all of the connecting points contained in the tree. Also, if control input is received indicating that a selection pointer is "hovering" over a connecting point that is contained in the tree, a "tool-tip" may displayed displaying the rule that was applied at that connecting point.

Illustrative Operating Environment

Although the illustrative embodiment will be generally described in the context of an application program running on a personal computer, those skilled in the art will recognize that the present invention may be implemented in conjunction with operating system programs or with other types of program modules for other types of computers. Furthermore, those skilled in the art will recognize that the present invention may be implemented in a stand-alone or in a distributed computing environment. In a distributed computing environment, program modules may be physically located in different local and remote memory storage devices. Execution of the program modules may occur locally in a stand-alone manner or remotely in a

client server manner. Examples of such distributed computing environments include local area networks and the Internet.

The detailed description that follows is represented largely in terms of processes and symbolic representations of operations by conventional computer components, including a processing unit (a processor), memory storage devices, connected display devices, and input devices. Furthermore, these processes and operations may utilize conventional computer components in a heterogeneous distributed computing environment, including remote file servers, compute servers, and memory storage devices. Each of these conventional distributed computing components is accessible by the processor via a communication network.

The processes and operations performed by the computer include the manipulation of signals by a processor and the maintenance of these signals within data structures resident in one or more memory storage devices. For the purposes of this discussion, a process is generally conceived to be a sequence of computer-executed steps leading to a desired result. These steps usually require physical manipulations of physical quantities. Usually, though not necessarily, these quantities take the form of electrical, magnetic, or optical signals capable of being stored, transferred, combined, compared, or otherwise manipulated. It is convention for those skilled in the art to refer to representations of these signals as bits, bytes, words, information, elements, symbols, characters, numbers, points, data, entries, objects, images, files, or the like. It should be kept in mind, however, that these and similar terms are associated with appropriate physical quantities for computer operations, and that these terms are merely conventional labels applied to physical quantities that exist within and during operation of the computer.

It should also be understood that manipulations within the computer are often referred to in terms such as creating, adding, calculating, comparing, moving, receiving, determining, identifying, populating, loading, executing, etc. that are often associated with manual

operations performed by a human operator. The operations described herein are machine operations performed in conjunction with various input provided by a human operator or user that interacts with the computer.

In addition, it should be understood that the programs, processes, methods, etc. described herein are not related or limited to any particular computer or apparatus. Rather, various types of general purpose machines may be used with the program modules constructed in accordance with the teachings described herein. Similarly, it may prove advantageous to construct a specialized apparatus to perform the method steps described herein by way of dedicated computer systems in a specific network architecture with hard-wired logic or programs stored in nonvolatile memory, such as read-only memory.

Referring now to the drawings, in which like numerals represent like elements throughout the several figures, aspects of the present invention and the illustrative operating environment will be described.

Fig. 1 and the following discussion are intended to provide a brief, general description of a suitable computing environment in which the invention may be implemented. Referring now to Fig. 1, an illustrative environment for implementing the invention includes a conventional personal computer **20**, including a processing unit **21**, a system memory **22**, and a system bus **23** that couples the system memory to the processing unit **21**. The system memory **22** includes read only memory (ROM) **24** and random access memory (RAM) **25**. A basic input/output system **26** (BIOS), containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements within the personal computer **20**, such as during start-up, is stored in ROM **24**. The personal computer **20** further includes a hard disk drive **27**, a magnetic disk drive **28**, e.g., to read from or write to a removable disk **29**, and an optical disk drive **30**, e.g., for reading a CD-ROM disk **31** or to read from or write to other optical media.

The hard disk drive **27**, magnetic disk drive **28**, and optical disk drive **30** are connected to the system bus **23** by a hard disk drive interface **32**, a magnetic disk drive interface **33**, and an optical drive interface **34**, respectively. The drives and their associated computer-readable media provide nonvolatile storage for the personal computer **20**. Although the description of computer-readable media above refers to a hard disk, a removable magnetic disk and a CD-ROM disk, it should be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other types of media are readable by a computer, such as magnetic cassettes, flash memory cards, digital video disks, Bernoulli cartridges, and the like, may also be used in the illustrative operating environment.

A number of program modules may be stored in the drives and RAM **25**, including an operating system **35** and one or more application programs **36**, such as a program for analyzing and debugging natural language parses. In the illustrative embodiment of the present invention, aspects of the present invention may also be stored in RAM **25** as parsing engine **37** and RAD debugging tool **38**. A table of constituents **39** may also be stored in RAM and on hard disk drive **27** by parsing engine **37**.

A user may enter commands and information into the personal computer **20** through a keyboard **40** and pointing device, such as a mouse **42**. Other control input devices (not shown) may include a microphone, joystick, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, or the like. These and other input devices are often connected to the processing unit **21** through a serial port interface **46** that is coupled to the system bus, but may be connected by other interfaces, such as a game port or a universal serial bus (USB). A monitor **47** or other type of display device is also connected to the system bus **23** via an interface, such as a video adapter **48**. In addition to the monitor, personal computers typically include other peripheral output devices (not shown), such as speakers or printers. The personal computer **20** may be capable of displaying a graphical user interface on monitor **47**.

The personal computer **20** may operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer **49**. The remote computer **49** may be a server, a router, a peer device or other common network node, and typically includes many or all of the elements described relative to the personal computer **20**, although only a memory storage device **50** has been illustrated in Fig. 1. The logical connections depicted in FIG. 1 include a local area network (LAN) **51** and a wide area network (WAN) **52**. Such networking environments are commonplace in offices, enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets and the Internet.

When used in a LAN networking environment, the personal computer **20** is connected to the LAN **51** through a network interface **53**. When used in a WAN networking environment, the personal computer **20** typically includes a modem **54** or other means for establishing communications over the WAN **52**, such as the Internet. The modem **54**, which may be internal or external, is connected to the system bus **23** via the serial port interface **46**. In a networked environment, program modules depicted relative to the personal computer **20**, or portions thereof, may be stored in the remote memory storage device. It will be appreciated that the network connections shown are illustrative and other means of establishing a communications link between the computers may be used.

As discussed earlier, the illustrative embodiments of the present invention are embodied in an application program module for analyzing and debugging natural language parses. The operating system **35** generally controls the operation of the previously discussed personal computer **20**, including input/output operations. In the illustrative operating environment, the invention is used in conjunction with Microsoft Corporation's "Windows 98" operating system. However, it should be understood that the invention can be implemented for use in other operating systems, such as Microsoft Corporation's "WINDOWS 3.1," "WINDOWS 95", "WINDOWS NT" and "WINDOWS 2000" operating systems, IBM

Corporation's "OS/2" and "AIX" operating systems, SunSoft's "SOLARIS" operating system used in workstations manufactured by Sun Microsystems, Hewlett-Packard's "HP-UX" and "RT-UX" operating systems, and the operating systems used in "MACINTOSH" computers manufactured by Apple Computer, Inc.

Illustrative Embodiments of The Present Invention

With the above preface on the illustrative operating environment for embodiments of the present invention, the remaining Figs. 2-10 illustrate aspects of several embodiments of the present invention. Figs. 2-6 are screen shots of a software application program for analyzing and debugging natural language parses that embodies aspects of the present invention. Fig. 7 is a block diagram illustrating an illustrative software architecture for implementing aspects of the present invention. Fig. 8 is a flow diagram illustrating an illustrative method for analyzing and debugging natural language parses. Fig. 9 is a flow diagram that illustrates a method for handling the selection of buttons, display items, and other user interface controls. Fig. 10 is a flow diagram showing an illustrative method for handling the selection of a connecting point not contained in a parse tree. Fig. 11 is a flow diagram illustrating an exemplary method for handling the selection of a connecting point contained in a parse tree.

Referring now to Fig. 2, an illustrative application program embodying aspects of the present invention will be described. Fig. 2 is a screen shot of a user interface window **41** displayed by the rapid analysis and debugging ("RAD") application program **38**. The RAD application program **38** embodies aspects of the present invention that may be and utilized by linguists and others to debug natural language parses. The user interface window **41** displayed by the RAD application program **38** comprises a number of user interface buttons **55**, such as previous parse button **55a,** next parse button **55b**, previous sentence button **55c**, and next sentence button **55d**. As will be described in more detail below, selection of the previous or next parse buttons **55a** or **55b** will result in the parse for the previous or next sentence to be

displayed. Likewise, selection of previous sentence button **55c** or next sentence button **55d** will result in the selection of the previous or next sentence, respectively, and a display of the appropriate parse for the selected sentence. The user interface window **41** displayed by the RAD application program **38** also comprises user interface menu items such as new sentence menu item **56a**. If new sentence menu item **56a** is selected the user will be prompted to input a new sentence for parsing.

The user interface window **41** displayed by the RAD application program **38** further comprises input box **57** for receiving input sentence **58**. For example, the input sentence "time flies like an arrow" may be input by a user by typing into input box **57**. After input sentence **58** has been provided, the parse of input sentence **58** will be displayed in the user interface window **41** by RAD application program **38**.

When input sentence **58** has been provided, a tree-like grid **59** is displayed. The grid **59**, comprises a plurality of connecting points **60a-60n**. In an illustrative embodiment of the present invention, connecting points **60a-60n** are connected with dashed lines to distinguish grid **59** from parse tree **65**. Parse tree **65** comprises a parse of input sentence **58** and is drawn in solid black lining or other distinguishing lines . Parse tree **65** is described in more detail below.

Rule identifier **61** is displayed at each of connecting points **60a-60n** where a constituent was formed. Each of sentence tokens **62a-62n** are also displayed below grid **59.** Each of sentence tokens **62a-62n** corresponds to one of connecting points **60a-60n** on the bottom level of grid **59**. Information identifying the displayed parse such as parse identifier **63**, may also be displayed. Additionally, window controls **64** may be provided to expand or contract the viewing area of the user interface window **41** displayed by the RAD application program **38.**

Referring now to Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, additional aspects of the RAD application program **38** will be described. If RAD application program **38** receives control input selecting one of

connecting points **60a-60n** that is contained in parse tree **65**, a debug menu **70** is displayed proximate to the selected connecting point. Debug menu **70** comprises a plurality of menu items for debugging the selected connecting point. Illustrative menu items include the delete vertex **72** menu item which, when selected, deletes the constituents, or children, of the selected control point and any dependent constituents. Other illustrative menu items include delete tree **74** menu item which, when selected, deletes the entire parse tree **65**. Highlight **76** menu item may also be selected to highlight the constituents of the selected control point. Additionally, rule identifier **61** may be provided as part of the debug menu **70**, and additional information may be provided describing the rule applied at the selected connecting point.

Referring now to Figs. 2 and Fig. 4, analyze menu **80** is displayed in response to the selection of one of connecting points **60a-60n** not contained in parse tree **65**. Analyze menu **80** is displayed adjacent to the selected connecting point **60a-60n**. Analyze menu **80** provides a plurality of menu items for analyzing grammar rules applied at the selected connecting point **60a-60n**.

In illustrative embodiment, menu item **84** is provided for displaying information regarding rules that were applied at the selected connecting point and failed, and also for displaying rules that were not attempted at the selected connecting point. Selection of menu item **84** results in the display of an additional user interface menu identifying each of the rules that failed or were unattempted at the selected connecting point **60a-60n**. Selection of menu item **84** is described in more detail below with reference to Fig. 6.

Menu item **82** is also provided for displaying information regarding rules that could be successfully applied at the selected connecting point **60a-60n**. If control input is received selecting menu item **82**, a second, or supplemental, menu **90** is displayed proximate to analyze menu **80**. Supplemental menu **90** contains menu items for displaying the successful rules that could be applied successfully to the constituents under the selected connecting point **60a-60n**,

and for displaying the rules that could be applied successfully to the string under selected connecting point **60a-60n**. If the over constituents **86** menu item is selected, a group of rules is displayed comprising all of the rules that may be applied at the selected connecting point **60a-60n** in view of the constituents of the selected connecting point **60a-60n**. If the over string **88** menu item is selected, a group of rules is displayed comprising all of the rules that may be applied at the selected connecting point **60a-60n** without regard to the constituents of the selected connecting point **60a-60n**. Selection of these menu items **86** and **88** is described in more detail below with respect to Fig. 5a.

Referring now to Fig. 4 and Fig. 5a, rules window **92** is displayed in response to selecting either of menu items **86** or **88**. Rules window **92** comprises a list of rules **94**, that may be applied at the selected connecting point **60a-60n**. If menu item **86** was selected, group of rules **94** will comprise all of the rules that may be applied at the selected connecting point in view of the constituents of the selected connecting point **60a-60n**. If menu item **88** was selected, group of rules **94** will comprise all of the rules that may be applied at the selected connecting point without regard to the constituents of the selected connecting point. Control input may then be received selecting one of the list of rules **94**. Additional control input may be received selecting apply button **96** and the selected rule may then be applied to the parse tree at the selected connecting point. The application of selected rule "VP3" to connecting point **95** is shown in Fig. 5b. Through the application of rule "VP3" at connecting point **95**, a constituent **98** is formed comprising the sentence fragment "like an arrow." Grammar rules may be applied and reapplied to connecting points in this manner to create constituents spanning the entire input sentence **58**.

Referring now to Fig. 2 and Fig. 6, failed rule window **100** will be described. As discussed above with respect to Fig. 4, failed rule window **100** is displayed in response to the selection of menu item **84** for showing failed or unattempted rules. Failed rule window **100**

comprises list of rules **102** that includes all of the grammar rules that either were not applied at the selected connecting point **60a-60n**, or that were applied at the selected connecting point **60a-60n** and failed. Each of the rules contained in list of rules **102** may be selected by control input, such as a mouse, keyboard, or other input means. Apply button **104** may also be selected via control input to apply a selected grammar rule to the selected connecting point **60a-60n**. Success/fail button **106** may also be selected to determine the success or failure of each of list of rules **102**.

In response to the selection of success/fail button **106**, success/failure indicators **108a-108n** may be displayed adjacent to list of rules **102**. Done button **110** may also be selected to return to the main RAD application program **38** display screen.

Referring now to Fig. 7, an illustrative software architecture for implementing aspects of the present invention will be described. In an embodiment of the present invention, RAD application program **38** communicates with parsing engine **37** over communication link **112**. Communication link **112** may comprise pipes, queues, or other software communication protocols well known to those skilled in the art. RAD application program communicates input sentence **58** to parsing engine **37**, and instructs parsing engine **37** to parse input sentence **58**.

Parsing engine **37** then parses input sentence **58** through the use of algorithms well known to those skilled in the art. Parsing engine **37** may reference grammar rules **62** or dictionary **60** during the parsing process. When parsing engine **37** has completed the parse of input sentence **58**, constituent table **39** is saved by parsing engine **37**. Constituent table **39** comprises all of the possible parses of input sentence **58** using the available grammar rule **62**. Methods for implementing parsing engine **37** and constituent table **39** well known to those skilled in the art. RAD application program **38** utilizes data saved in constituent table **39** to draw parse tree **65** in the manner described above.

Referring now to Fig. 8, an illustrative Routine **800** for analyzing and debugging natural language parses will be described. Routine **800** begins at step **802** where an input sentence is received. As discussed above, the input sentence may be in any natural language. At step **803** the input sentence is transmitted to parsing engine **37** for parsing in a manner well known to those skilled in the art. As described above with reference to Fig. 7, parsing engine saves the results of the parse in a constituents table. At step **804**, the constituents table is retrieved.

From step **804**, Routine **800** continues to step **806** where a grid is drawn in the manner described above. At step **808**, a parse tree is displayed for the input sentence on the grid. At step **810**, control input is received. Control input may comprise input from any number of input devices such as a mouse, keyboard, light pen or other input device. Control input may also be received under programmatic control.

From step **810**, Routine **800** continues to step **811** where a determination is made as to whether a new sentence was received. If a new sentence was received, Routine **800** branches to step **803**, where the new sentence is transmitted to the parsing engine. If a new sentence was not received, Routine **800** continues to step **812**.

At step **812**, a determination is made as to whether control input was received selecting a button or menu item. If a button or a menu item was selected, Routine **800** branches to step **814**. The handling of the selection of buttons and menu items at step **814** is described in detail below with respect to Fig. 9. From step **814**, Routine **800** continues to step **810** where control input is again received. If a determination is made that control input was not received selecting a button or menu item, Routine **800** continues to step **816**.

At step **816**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting a connecting point that is not in the parse tree as a selected connecting point. If a determination is made that a connecting point not in the parse tree has been selected, the

routine **800** branches to step **818**. The handling of the selection of connecting points not contained in the parse tree is described in more detail below with respect to Fig. 10. Step **818** continues to step **810** where additional control input may be received. If, at step **816**, a determination is made that a connecting point not in the parse tree has not been selected, the routine **800** continues to step **820**.

At step **820**, a determination is made as to whether a connecting point that is a part of parse tree has been selected. If a determination is a made that a connecting point not in the parse tree has been selected, routine **800** branches to step **822**. At step **822**, the selection of a connecting point contained in the parse tree is processed. Handling of the selection of connecting points contained in the parse tree is described in more detail below with respect to Fig. 11. If, at step **820**, it is determined that a connecting point not in the parse tree has not been selected, Routine **800** continues to step **810** where control input may again be received.

Referring now to Fig. 9, an illustrative Routine **900** for handling the selection of user interface buttons and menu items will be described. Routine **900** begins at step **902** where a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting a user interface button. If a determination is made at step **902** that a user interface button has been selected, Routine **900** branches to step **904.**

At step **904**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received indicating that a previous parse of the input sentence should be displayed. If, at step **904**, a determination is made that a previous parse of the input sentence should be displayed, Routine **900** branches to step **906**. At step **906**, the previous parse of input sentence is selected. Routine **900** then continues to step **912** where the selected parse is retrieved from a table of constituents.

If, at step **904**, a determination is made that a previous button has not been selected, routine **900** continues to step **908** where a determination is made as to whether control input

has been received selecting a user interface button for selecting the next parse of the input sentence. If such a determination is made, routine branches to step **910**, where the next parse of the input sentence is selected. Routine **900** then continues to step **912**. If, at step **908**, it is determined that the user interface button for selecting the next parse of the input sentence has not been selected, Route **900** continue to step **914**.

At step **914**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting a user interface button for selecting a previous input sentence. If it is determined that such a user interface selection has been made, Routine **900** branches to step **918**, where a previous sentence is selected as the input sentence. Routine **900** then continues to step **934**. If, at step **914**, it is determined that a user interface selection for selecting a previous input sentence has not been made, Routine **900** branches to step **916**.

At step **916**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting a user interface control for selecting a next sentence as the input sentence. If such a determination is made, Routine **900** branches to step **920** where the next sentence is selected as an input sentence. If, at step **916**, it is determined that a user interface selection for selecting the next sentence has not been made, Routine **900** continues to step **922**. At step **922**, additional user interface buttons or menu items may be processed. Routine **900** then continues to step **934**, where it ends.

From step **912**, Routine **900** continues to step **924** where the grid is drawn as described above. From step **924**, the Routine **900** continues to step **926** where the parse tree is drawn in the manner described above.

If, at step **902**, it is determined that control input has not been received selecting a user interface button, Routine **900** branches to step **928**. At step **928** a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting a user interface control for selecting a new sentence. If it is determined that a new sentence control has been selected, Routine **900**

branches to step **930** where a new input sentence is received. If it is determined at step **928** that a new sentence control has not been selected, Routine **900** branches to step **932** where the selection of additional menu items may be processed. Routine **900** then returns to step **810**, which is described above with reference to Fig. 8.

Referring now to Fig. 10, an illustrative Route**1000** for handling the selection of a connecting point not contained in the parse tree will be described. Routine **1000** begins at step **1002**, where a group of menu items are displayed and control input is received. As described above, the group of menu items may be displayed adjacent to the selected connecting point. Routine **1000** continues from step **1002** to step **1004**, where a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting one of the menu items for displaying a group a rules applied at the selected connecting point to successfully form a constituent. If it is determined at step **1004** that a menu item for displaying successful rules has been selected, Routine **1000** branches to step **1006**.

At step **1006**, a second menu is displayed and control input is received. As described above, the second menu may be displayed adjacent to the first menu. Routine **1000** then continues from **1006** to step **1008**.

A determination is made at step **1008** as to whether control input has been received selecting one of the second group of menu items for displaying a group of grammar rules that may be applied at the selected connecting point in view of the constituents of the selected connecting point. If a determination is made that such a menu item has been selected, Routine **1000** branches to **1010**. At step **1010** a group of roles is displayed comprising all of the rules that may be applied at the selected connecting point in view of the constituents of the selected connecting point. Routine **1000** then branches to step **1016**, described below.

If, at step **1008**, a determination is made that control input has been received selecting a menu item for displaying rules that may be applied at the selected connecting point in view of

the constituents of the selected connecting point, Routine **1000** continues to step **1012**. At step **1012**, a determination is made as to whether one of the menu items has been selected for displaying a group of rules that may be applied at the selected connecting point without regard to the constituents of the selected connecting point. If a determination is made that such a menu item has been selected, Routine **1000** branches to step **1014**.

All of the rules that may not be applied successfully at the selected connecting point without regard to the constituents of this selected connecting point are displayed at step **1014**. Routine **1000** then continues from step **1014** to step **1016**, where control input is received. From step **1016**, Routine **1000** continues to step **1018** where any selected rule from the displayed group of rules is applied to the parse tree at the selected connecting point. The parse tree is then updated. Routine **1000** then continues to step **1042** where the routine returns to step **810**, described above with reference to Fig. 8.

If, at step **1004**, it is determined that control input has been received selecting a menu items for displaying a group of successfully applied rules at the selected connecting point, Routine **1000** continues to step **1020**. At step **1020**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received to selecting a menu item for displaying a group of rules not applied at the selected connecting point, or a group of rules applied at the selected connecting point that did not successfully form a constituent. If such a selection has not been made, Routine **1000** continues to step **1042**. If such a menu item has been selected, Routine **1000** continues from step **1020** to step **1022**.

At step **1022**, a list of rules is displayed that unsuccessfully applied at the selected connecting point. A group of rules that were not applied at the selected connecting point may also be displayed. Routine **1000** continues from step **1022** to step **1024**, where control input is received. Control input at step **1024** may include the selection of one of the displayed rules.

Routine **1000** continues from step **1024** to step **1026**, where a determination is made as to whether control input has been received indicating that one of the selected rules should be applied at the selected connecting point. If such a selection is made, Routine **1000** branches to step **1028** where an attempt is made to apply the selected rule to the parse tree at the selected connecting point. Routine **1000** then continues from step **1028** to step **1038**, where determination is made as to whether application of the selected rule was successful at the selected connecting point. If application of the rule was successful, Routine **1000** branches from step **1038** to step **1042**. If application of the rule at step **1028** was unsuccessful, Routine **1000** branches from step **1038** to step **1040**, where reasons for the failure of the application of the selected rule may be displayed. Routine **1000** then continues to step **1042**.

If, at step **1026**, it is determined that control input was received for applying a selected rule, Routine **1000** continues to step **1030**. At step **1030**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received for determining the computation of the success or failure of each of the rules in the displayed list of rules. If such control input has been received, Routine **1000** branches to step **1032**, where all of the rules in the displayed list of rules are transmitted to a parsing engine along with the constituents of the selected connecting point. Routine **1000** then continues from step **1032** to step **1034**, where the results of the parse are retrieved and displayed. As described above, successfully applied rules may be indicated with a checkmark or other indicator, and unsuccessful rules may be displayed with an "x" or other similar indicator. Any of the displayed rules may also be selected for application at the selected connecting point. Routine **1000** then continues from step **1034** to step **1036**.

If, at step **1030**, it is determined that control input was received requesting the computation of the success or failure of the displayed list of rules, Routine **1000** continues to step **1036**. At step **1036**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received indicating that the user is done. If such control input is not received, Routine **1000** branches

from step **1036** to step **1024**. If such control input is received, Routine **1000** continues from step 1036 to step **1042**. At step **1042**, Routine **1000** returns to step **810**, described above with reference to Fig. 8.

Referring now to Fig. 11, an illustrative method for handling the selection of a connecting point contained in the parse tree will be described. Routine **1100** begins at step **1102** where a group of menu items is displayed and control input is received. As described above, the group of menu items may be displayed proximate to the selected point. Displaying the group of menu items may also comprise displaying information identifying a rule applied at the selected connecting point to form the constituent below the selected connecting point. Control input may comprise selection of the rule or selection of one of the other menu items using a mouse or other input device.

From step **1102**, Routine **1100** continues to step **1104**, where a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting the displayed rule at the selected connecting point. If control input has been received selecting the rule applied at the selected connecting point, Routine **1100** branches to step **1106**. At step **1106**, the selected rule and the constituents of the selected connecting point are transmitted to the parsing engine. Routine **1100** then continues from step **1106** to step **1108**, where the results of the application of the selected rule at the selected connecting point are retrieved and displayed. Step **1108** then continues to step **1118**.

If, at step **1104**, it is determined that control input has not been received selecting the rule applied at the selected connecting point, Routine **1100** continues to step **1110**. At step **1110**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting one of the menu items for deleting the selected connecting point from the parse tree. If such a menu item has been selected, Routine **1100** branches to step **1112**, where the connecting point is

deleted from the parse tree. The grid and parse tree are then re-drawn. Step **1112** then continues to step **1118**.

If, at step **1110**, it is determined that control input has not been received for deleting the selected connecting point, Routine **1100** continues to step **1114**. At step **1114**, a determination is made as to whether control input has been received selecting one of the menu items for deleting the entire parse tree. If such control input has been received, Routine **1100** branches to step **1116**, where the entire parse tree is deleted. The grid and the tree are then re-drawn. step **1116** then continues to step **1118**.

If, at step **1114**, it is determined that control input has not been received for deleting the entire parse tree, Routine **1100** continues to step **1118**. Where Routine **1100** returns to step **810**, described above in connection with Fig. 8.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that the present invention provides a method and apparatus for analyzing and debugging natural language parses. It should be understood that the foregoing relates only to specific embodiments of the present invention, and that numerous changes may be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined by the following claims.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for analyzing and debugging natural language parses, comprising the steps of:

displaying a parse tree for a sentence comprising at least one connecting point having two children;

receiving control input selecting one of said connecting points as a selected connecting point;

determining whether a constituent was formed at said selected connecting point; and

in response to determining that a constituent was formed at said selected connecting point, displaying a plurality of menu items proximate to said selected connecting point.

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting one of said plurality of menu items for deleting said constituent formed at said selected connecting point; and

in response to receiving said control input for deleting said constituent, deleting said constituent.

3. The method of claim 2, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting one of said plurality of menu items for deleting said parse tree; and

in response to receiving said control input for deleting said parse tree, deleting constituents formed at each connecting point in said parse tree.

4. The method of claim 3, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting one of said plurality of menu items for displaying information regarding said children of said selected connecting point; and

displaying information regarding said children of said selected connecting point.

5. The method of claim 4 wherein said step of displaying a first plurality of menu items further comprises displaying information identifying a grammar rule applied at said selected connecting point to form said constituent.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein said control input selecting one of said connecting points as a selected connecting point comprises:

receiving input from an input device placing a pointer of a user interface proximate to one of said connecting points; and

receiving input representing an enabled state for the control of the input device.

7. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps recited in claim 1.

8. A computer-controlled apparatus for implementing the method of claim 1.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein said step of determining whether a constituent was formed at said selected connecting point comprises determining whether a rule was successfully applied at said selected connecting point to form a constituent between said children of said selected connecting point.

10. A method for analyzing and debugging natural language parses, comprising the steps of:

displaying a parse tree for a sentence comprising at least one connecting point having two children;

receiving control input selecting one of said connecting points as a selected connecting point;

determining whether a constituent was successfully formed at said selected connecting point; and

in response to determining that a constituent was not successfully formed at said selected connecting point, displaying a first plurality of menu items proximate to said selected connecting point.

11. The method of claim 10, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting one of said first plurality of menu items for displaying a group of rules applied to successfully form a constituent at said selected connecting point; and

in response to receiving user input selecting said menu item for displaying rules applied to successfully form a constituent at said selected connecting point, displaying a second plurality of menu items proximate to said first plurality of menu items.

12. The method of claim 11 wherein said children comprise constituents of said selected connection point, and further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting one of said second plurality of menu items for displaying a group of rules that may be applied at said selected connecting point in view of said constituents of said selected connecting point; and

displaying a first group of rules comprising all of the rules that may be applied at said selected connecting point in view of said constituents of said selected connecting point.

13. The method of claim 11 wherein said children comprise constituents of said selected connecting point, and further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting one of said second plurality of menu items for displaying a group of rules that may be applied at said selected connecting point without regard to said constituents of said selected connecting point; and

displaying a second group of rules comprising all of the rules that may be applied at said selected connecting point without regard to said constituents of said selected connecting point.

14. The method of claim 13, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting a rule from one of said first or second groups of rules as a selected rule; and

applying said selected rule at said selected connecting point and updating said parse tree.

15. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps recited in claim 14.

16. A computer-controlled apparatus for implementing the method of claim 14.

17. The method of claim 11, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting one of said first plurality of menu items for displaying a group of rules applied at said selected connecting point that did not successfully form a constituent at said selected connecting point; and

in response to receiving user input selecting said menu item for displaying rules unsuccessfully applied at said selected connecting point, displaying a list of rules that were unsuccessfully applied at said selected connecting point.

18. The method of claim 11, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting one of said first plurality of menu items for displaying a group of rules not applied at said selected connecting point; and

in response to receiving user input selecting said menu item for displaying rules not applied at said selected connecting point, displaying a list of rules that were not applied at said selected connecting point.

19. The method of claim 18, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting a rule from one of said displayed list of rules as a selected rule;

receiving control input indicating that said selected rule should be applied at said selected connecting point to form a constituent; and

applying said selected rule at said selected connecting point.

20. The method of claim 19, further comprising the steps of:

determining whether said application of said selected rule at said selected connecting point was successful; and

in response to determining that said application of said selected rule was unsuccessful, displaying information identifying the reasons for the failure of said application of said selected rule.

21. The method of claim 18, further comprising the steps of:

receiving control input selecting requesting the computation of the success or failure of each of said displayed list of rules;

in response to said receiving control input requesting the computation of the success or failure of each of said displayed list of rules, transmitting each rule in said displayed list of rules to a parsing engine;

retrieving an associated success or failure indicator for each of said rules in said displayed list of rules from said parsing engine; and

displaying said success or failure indicators.

22. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps recited in claim 21.

23. A computer-controlled apparatus for implementing the method of claim 21.

24. A method for analyzing and debugging natural language parses, comprising the steps of:

displaying a parse tree for a sentence comprising at least one connecting point having two children;

receiving control input selecting a new parse of said sentence; and

in response to receiving said control input, displaying said new parse of said sentence as a new parse tree.

25. The method of claim 24, wherein said new parse comprises a previous parse of said sentence.

26. The method of claim 24, wherein said new parse comprises a next parse of said sentence.

27. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing the steps recited in claim 26.

28. A computer-controlled apparatus for implementing the method of claim 26.

29. A method for analyzing and debugging natural language parses, comprising the steps of:

displaying a parse tree for a sentence comprising at least one connecting point having two children;

receiving control input selecting a new sentence to be parsed; and

in response to receiving said control input, transmitting said new sentence to a parsing engine;

receiving a parse of said new sentence from said parsing engine; and

displaying said new parse of said new sentence as a new parse tree.

30. The method of claim 29, wherein said new sentence comprises a previous sentence.

31. The method of claim 29, wherein said new sentence comprises a next sentence.

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ANALYZING AND DEBUGGING NATURAL LANGUAGE PARSES

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A method and apparatus for analyzing and debugging natural language parses is provided. An input sentence is received and parsed by a parsing engine. A table of constituents is retrieved from the parsing engine and a grid tree is drawn representing the input sentence. Nodes of the tree, or connecting points, appear at intersections of the tree "branches." Once the grid has been drawn, the first syntactically correct parse of the sentence is mapped to the grid in a tree-like manner (the "parse tree"). Input is then received for selecting one of several graphical buttons, for selecting a node that is in the parse tree, for selecting a node that is not in the parse tree, or for selecting options from one of several "pull-down" menus. If a connecting point that is not contained in the parse tree is selected, a group of menu options may be displayed adjacent to the selected connecting point. The user may select menu options for displaying successful rules applied at the connecting point, or for displaying unattempted and failed rules for the connecting point. If a connecting point that is contained in the parse tree (i.e. a constituent was formed at the connecting point) is selected, a second group of menu options may be displayed adjacent to the selected connecting point. The menu options may include displaying the name of the connecting point and the name of the rule that was applied at the connecting point to form the constituent.


System Memory
(ROM) 24
BIOS 26
(RAM) 25
Operating System 35
Application Programs 36
Parsing Engine 37
RAD Debugging Tool 38
22
20
Processing Unit 21
Video Adapter 48
Monitor 47
System Bus
23
Hard Disk Drive Interface 32
Magnetic Disk Drive Interface 33
Optical Disk Interface 34
Serial Port Interface 46
Network Interface 53
Local Area Network 51
Wide Area Network 52
Modem 54
Remote Computer 49
50
27
28
29
30
31
Mouse 42
Keyboard 40
Operating System 35
Application Programs 36
Parsing Engine 37
RAD Debugging Tool 38
Constituents Table 39
Application Programs 36

FIG. 1


RAD Debugging
File
New Sentence
Tools
View
Find
Edit
Prev
Next
Accept
Prev Snt
Next Snt
Time flies like an arrow.
Analyze
DECL1
VP5
VP3
NP4
NP6
NP3
NP2
VP1
AJP1
NP1
CHAR1
Time
flies
like
an
arrow
.
Parse 1 of 6
55a
55b
55c
55d
56a
56
55
41
57
58
59
61
60a
65
60n
64
62a
62n
63

FIG. 2


RAD Debugging
File New Sentence Tools View
Find Edit Prev Next Accept Prev Snt Next Snt Comment Delete
Time flies like an arrow.
Analyze
DECL1
VP5
VP3
NP4
NP6
NP3
NP2
VP1
AJP1
Time
flies
like
an
NP6: NPwDetQuant
Details Of Constituent
Debug Vertex
Delete Vertex
Delete Tree
Collapse
Expand
Highlight
Parse 1 of 6
68
70
72
74
76

FIG. 3



RAD Debugging
File
New Sentence
Tools
View
Find
Edit
Prev
Next
Accept
Prev Snt
Next Snt
Comment
Delete
Goto
Time flies like an arrow.
Analyze
82
80
90
86
Show Success Rules
Over constits: VP1 NP6
Show Failed/Unattempted Rules
Over string: like an arrow
84
88
NP4
NP6
NP3
NP2
VP1
ADJP1
NP1
CHAR1
Time
flies
like
an
arrow
.
Parse 1 of 6

FIG. 4


RAD Debugging
File New Sentence Tools View
Find Edit Prev
Rules combining: like an arrow
Select one of the following rules to apply:
VP3: VPwNPr1
PP2: NPtoPP
Apply
92
96
94
95
Time flies like an arrow.
NP4
NP3
NP2
VP1
AJP1
NP6
NP1
CHAR1
Time
flies
like
an
arrow
.
Parse 1 of 6

FIG. 5A


RAD Debugging
File New Sentence Tools View
Find Edit Prev Next Accept Prev Snt Next Snt
Time flies like an arrow.
Analyze
98
VP3
NP4
NP6
NP3
NP2
VP1
AJP1
NP1
CHAR1
Time
flies
like
an
arrow
.
Parse 1 of 6

FIG. 5B


Rules that can combine constits: VERB2
VERBtoVP(vpstuff.g)
VERBtoPOSS(vpstuff.g)
APOSis(vpstuff.g)
APOSdoes(vpstuff.g)
APOSwould(vpstuff.g)
AINThave(vpstuff.g)
Apply G Rule
Compute Success/Fail
Done
108a
108n
104
106
110
102
100

FIG. 6



GRAMMAR RULES
62
DICTIONARY
60
PARSING ENGINE
37
CONSTITUENTS TABLE
39
RAPID ANALYSIS AND DEBUGGING TOOL (RAD)
38
112

FIG. 7


START
800
RECEIVE INPUT SENTENCE
802
TRANSMIT SENTENCE TO PARSING ENGINE
803
RETRIEVE CONSTITUENTS TABLE SAVED BY PARSING ENGINE
804
DRAW GRID
806
DRAW PARSE OF INPUT SENTENCE ON GRID
808
RECEIVE CONTROL INPUT
810
811
YES
NEW SENTENCE RECEIVED?
NO
812
BUTTON OR MENU ITEM SELECTED?
YES
HANDLE SELECTION OF BUTTONS AND MENU ITEMS (ROUTINE 900, FIG.9)
814
NO
816
NODE NOT IN THE PARSE TREE SELECTED?
YES
HANDLE SELECTION OF NODE NOT IN PARSE TREE (ROUTINE 1000, FIG. 10)
818
NO
820
NODE IN THE PARSE TREE SELECTED?
YES
HANDLE SELECTION OF NODE IN PARSE TREE (ROUTINE 1100, FIG. 11)
822
NO
ROUTINE 800 -- METHOD FOR ANALYZING AND DEBUGGING NATURAL LANGUAGE PARSES

FIG. 8

ROUTINE 900 -- METHOD FOR HANDLING SELECTION OF BUTTONS AND MENU ITEMS (STEP 814, FIG. 8)



FIG. 9



ROUTINE 1000 – METHOD FOR HANDLING SELECTION OF NODE NOT CONTAINED IN PARSE TREE
START (FROM STEP 818, FIG. 8)
1000
DISPLAY MENU AND RECEIVE USER INPUT
1002
"SHOW SUCCESS RULES"?
1004
YES
NO
DISPLAY MENU AND RECEIVE CONTROL INPUT
1006
"OVER CONSTITS"?
1008
YES
DISPLAY RULES THAT CAN BE APPLIED IN LIGHT OF TREE
1010
NO
"OVER STRING"?
1012
YES
DISPLAY ALL RULES THAT MAY BE APPLIED SUCCESSFULLY
1014
NO
RECEIVE CONTROL INPUT
1016
APPLY SELECTED RULE TO TREE AND UPDATE
1018
"SHOW FAILED/ UNATTEMPTED RULES"?
1020
NO
YES
DISPLAY LIST OF RULES THAT COULD HAVE BEEN OR WERE APPLIED TO CONSTITS UNDER NODE
1022
RECEIVE CONTROL INPUT
1024
"APPLY G RULE"?
1026
YES
ATTEMPT TO APPLY SELECTED RULE TO TREE
1028
NO
"COMPUTE SUCCESS/ FAIL"?
1030
YES
TRANSMIT RULES AND CONSTITS TO PARSING ENGINE
1032
RETRIEVE AND DISPLAY RESULTS
1034
NO
"DONE"?
1036
NO
YES
SUCCESSFUL?
1038
YES
NO
APPLY SELECTED RULE TO TREE AND UPDATE
1039
DISPLAY REASONS FOR FAILURE
1040
RETURN (TO STEP 810, FIG. 8)
1042

FIG. 10


ROUTINE 1100 – METHOD FOR HANDLING SELECTION OF NODE CONTAINED IN PARSE TREE
START (FROM STEP 822, FIG. 8)
1100
DISPLAY MENU AND RECEIVE CONTROL INPUT
1102
RULE SELECTED?
1104
YES
TRANSMIT RULE AND CONSTITS TO PARSING ENGINE
1106
RETRIEVE RESULTS AND DISPLAY
1108
NO
DELETE NODE SELECTED?
1110
YES
DELETE NODE FROM TREE AND REDRAW GRID AND TREE
1112
NO
DELETE TREE SELECTED?
1114
YES
DELETE TREE UNDER NODE AND REDRAW
1116
NO
DEBUG VERTEX?
1115
YES
TO STEP 1002, FIG. 10
1117
NO
RETURN (TO STEP 810, FIG. 8)
1118

FIG. 11

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY Attorney's Docket No. **13237-2495/MS #135992.1**

In re application of Su Chin Chang and Hajime Wada , as a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **"Method and Apparatus for Analyzing and Debugging Natural Language Parses"**, the specification of which was herewith.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used by others in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to the date of this application. I further state that the invention was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to the date of this application. *I understand that I have a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office,* and I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) of the foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below, and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate disclosing subject matter in common with the above-identified specification and having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Application No.	Country	Filing Date	Priority Claimed Under 35 USC §119
none			Yes_____ No_____

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

(Application No.)	(Filing Date)	(Application No.)	(Filing Date)
none			

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the present application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status: patented, pending, abandoned
none		

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statement were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issuing thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: The following attorneys are hereby appointed to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Anthony B. Askew - 24,154; Roger T. Frost - 22,176; Jeffrey E. Young - 28,490; Robert E. Richards - 29,105; John R. Harris - 30,388; Stephen M. Schaetzel -31,418; Larry A. Roberts - 31,871; Gregory T. Gronholm - 32,415; Dale Lischer - 28,438; Peter G. Pappas - 33,205; James Dean Johnson - 31,771; Daniel J. Warren - 34,272; Leona G. Young - 37,266; Jamie L. Greene-32,467; Holmes J. Hawkins III - 38,913; Mary Anthony Merchant - 39,771; Michael J. Mehrman - 40,086; William L. Warren - 36,714; Brenda Ozaki Holmes - 40,339; James D. Withers - 40,376; Kimberly J. Prior - 41,483; Theodore M. Green - 41,801; Christopher J. Leonard - 41,940; Christos S. Kyriakou - 42,776; John K. McDonald - 42,860; Michael S. Pavento - 42,985; Suzanne Seavello Shope - 37,933; M. Scott Boone - 42,341; Sima Singadia Kulkarni - 43,732; A. Shane Nichols - 43,836; Christopher J. Chan - 44,070; Collen A. Beard - 38,824; Steven P. Wigmore - 40,447; John M. Briski - P44,562; Lisa C. Elsevier - P44,669; S. Craig Hemenway - P44,759; Leonard J. Hope - P44,774; Paul E. Knowlton - P44,842; Katie E. Sako – 32,628; Daniel D. Crouse – 32,022.

Send correspondence to: **JONES & ASKEW, LLP**
2400 Monarch Tower, 3424 Peachtree Road, NE
Atlanta, GA 30326

Direct telephone calls at **(404) 949-2400**

Dale Lischer, Esq.

Full name of joint inventor: Su Chin Chang	Citizenship: Canada
Inventor's signature S.C. Chang	Date: Nov 3, 1999
Residence and Post Office Address: 12921 195th Place, NE, Woodinville, WA 98075	

☒ Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets attached hereto.

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY Attorney's Docket No. **13237-2495/MS #135992.1**

In re application of Su Chin Chang and Hajime Wada, as a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: "**Method and Apparatus for Analyzing and Debugging Natural Language Parses**", the specification of which was filed herewith.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used by others in the United States of America before my or our invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my or our invention thereof or more than one year prior to the date of this application. I further state that the invention was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to the date of this application. *I understand that I have a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office,* and I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 (a)-(d) of the foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below, and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate disclosing subject matter in common with the above-identified specification and having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Application No.	Country	Filing Date	Priority Claimed Under 35 USC §119
none			Yes______ No______

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

(Application No.)	(Filing Date)	(Application No.)	(Filing Date)
none			

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter disclosed and claimed in the present application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status: patented, pending, abandoned
none		

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statement were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issuing thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: The following attorneys are hereby appointed to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Anthony B. Askew - 24,154; Roger T. Frost - 22,176; Jeffrey E. Young - 28,490; Robert E. Richards - 29,105; John R. Harris - 30,388; Stephen M. Schaetzel -31,418; Larry A. Roberts - 31,871; Thomas A. Hodge, - 22,602; Gregory T. Gronholm - 32,415; Dale Lischer - 28,438; Peter G. Pappas - 33,205; James Dean Johnson - 31,771; Daniel J. Warren - 34,272; Leona G. Young - 37,266; Jamie L. Greene-32,467; Holmes J. Hawkins III - 38,913; Mary Anthony Merchant - 39,771; Michael J. Mehrman - 40,086; William L. Warren - 36,714; Brenda Ozaki Holmes - 40,339; James D. Withers - 40,376; Kimberly J. Prior - 41,483; William B. Lafferty - 39,259; Theodore M. Green - 41,801; Christopher J. Leonard - 41,940; Christos S. Kyriakou - 42,776; John K. McDonald - 42,860; Michael S. Pavento - 42,985; Suzanne Seavello Shope - 37,933; M. Scott Boone - 42,341; Sima Singadia Kulkarni - P43,732; A. Shane Nichols - P43,836; Christopher J. Chan - P44,070; Katie E. Sako - 32,628; Daniel D. Crouse - 32,022.

Send correspondence to: **JONES & ASKEW, LLP**
2400 Monarch Tower
3424 Peachtree Road, NE
Atlanta, GA 30326

Direct telephone calls at **(404) 949-2400**

Dale Lischer, Esq.

Full name of second joint inventor: Hajime Wada	Citizenship: Japan
Inventor's signature Hajime Wada	Date: 11/3/99
Residence and Post Office Address: 1825 216th Place NE, Redmond, WA 98053	